

UNCLASSIFIED

INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

EE/G/IS

EXTENSION

NO.

EBGW-27057

DATE

12 Dec 1955

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

R1D/AN

xx

Pls classify

[ ]

2.

R1/mis

mg

3.

4.

5.

R1D/FI

Pls file

[ ]

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

RECLASSIFIED		
	RI/AN	MF
	RI/mis	M
	RI/Files	

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2008

RECORDS OFFICER STAMP

The following action is  
authorized: Desensitize

Signed: [ ]

R.O. #356 - Unit EE/G

Note: If destruction, cite  
reasons and coordinate  
if appropriate.

ABSTRACT	X	SEARCH
DATE	12 Dec 1955	

~~REF ID: A6513~~ DESENSEITIZED  
OFFICE OF DISPATCH

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

VIA \_\_\_\_\_  
(Specify Air or Sea Pouch)

DOC CSN 43-26

DISPATCH NO. EEQW 27057

**SECRET**  
CLASSIFICATION

TO Chief of Station, Germany  
ATTN: [ ]  
FROM Chief, EE

DATE DEC 12 1955

INFO: COS, Berlin

SUBJECT { GENERAL Operational/CARRIER  
SPECIFIC LCCASSOCK/LCFUTTER

References: A. EOBIA 26513 (KUBAK), 18 October 1955  
B. EOBIA 18246, 2 November 1954  
C. EOBIA 24846, 12 August 1955

1. In reference to your request in paragraph 2 of Reference A we concur in telling CARRIER that [ ] voluntarily underwent an LCFUTTER, if you feel that this will aid in convincing CARRIER that he should take the test.

2. In this connection, [ ] advises that during a routine operational meeting with CARRIER in October 1954, CARRIER referred to an article in the Saturday Evening Post and German publications on KUBAK. CARRIER stated that he was aware of the fact that all KUBAK staffers, because of the type of work they performed, were required to take an LCFUTTER, and that he, CARRIER, spending ODUKE money and enjoying ODUKE support, could certainly not object to taking one - in fact would welcome the opportunity, especially in regard to a ZIPPER-CARRIER relationship. You may recall that Identity 1 of Reference C, during his CHINOLT stint, was fully acquainted with the LCFUTTER process, and he gave the details to CARRIER.

3. If [ ] feels that the raising of the LCFUTTER question with CARRIER might jeopardize [ ] future relationship with him, we feel that a meeting at which CARRIER's LCFUTTER is raised might be attended by [ ] and that [ ] might broach the question of LCFUTTER. However, we feel that [ ] can decide best whether the presence of "this superior" is needed.

4. In connection with paragraph 3 of Reference A, we believe the matter of repayment of the missing funds and the matter of a termination gratuity for CARRIER should not be mixed, but each matter treated separately on its own merits.

(Releasing officer) JS COPY

**DES**

6 December 1955 - EE/Germany  
Distribution: 3-COS, 2-Berlin

1-MI, 2-EE/G, 1-[ ]

CLASSIFICATION

**SECRET**

COORDINATE: Security Office

50408

FORM NO. 51-29  
JUN 1949

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

**KUBAK**

**KUBAK**

**EE/G**

~~RYBAT~~

~~SECRET~~

RRW 27057

Chief of Station, Germany

INFO: [ ]  
Chief, EE

DEC 12 1955

INFO: COS, Berlin

Operational/GARDNER  
LOYALTY/LOYALTY

- References: A. ESDA 2063 (KNAU), 16 October 1955  
 B. ESDA 18266, 2 November 1954  
 C. ESDA 2066, 12 August 1955

1. In reference to your request in paragraph 2 of Reference A we concur in telling GARDNER that [ ] voluntarily underwent an LOYALTY, if you feel that this will aid in convincing GARDNER that he should take the test.

2. In this connection, [ ] advises that during a routine operational meeting with GARDNER in October 1954, GARDNER referred to an article in the Saturday Evening Post and German publications on KUBARK. GARDNER stated that he was aware of the fact that all KUBARK staffers, because of the type of work they performed, were required to take an LOYALTY, and that he, GARDNER, spending GDR money and enjoying GDR support, could certainly not object to taking one - in fact would welcome the opportunity, especially in regard to a ZIPPER-GARDNER relationship. You may recall that Identity 1 of Reference C, during his GDRDIT stint, was fully acquainted with the LOYALTY process, and he gave the details to GARDNER.

3. If [ ] feels that the raising of the LOYALTY question with GARDNER might jeopardize [ ] future relationship with him, we feel that a meeting at which GARDNER's LOYALTY is raised might be attended by [ ] and that [ ] might broach the question of LOYALTY. However, we feel that [ ] can decide best whether the presence of "his superior" is needed.

4. In connection with paragraph 3 of Reference A, we believe the matter of repayment of the missing funds and the matter of a termination gratuity for GARDNER should not be mixed, but each matter treated separately on its own merits.

6 December 1955 - EE/Germany - Distribution: JG-B-COS, 2-Berlin  
 1-EE, 2-EE/G, 1 [ ]

EE/G

~~RYBAT~~

SECRET

Card: 50403

EE/G